

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/599,695		WARDLAW, STEPHEN C.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	CHARLES HAMMOND		1797	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) CHARLES HAMMOND.

(2) Mr. Richard Getz.

**Date of Interview:** 21 July 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*N/A*

Claims discussed:

*Claims 1 and 33*

Prior art documents discussed:

*N/A*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jill Warden/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1797

**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 11:00pm EST

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Getz returned the examiner's phone call concerning a possible examiner's amendment to the claims. Mr. Getz submitted proposed claim amendments in response to the examiner's comments. The examiner indicated that the claim amendments were acceptable and would enter them in an examiner's amendment. Mr. Getz authorized the examiner's amendment. .